THE HONORABLE RICARDO S. MARTINEZ 1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 8 JOSEPH SANT, MERTON CHUN, 9 RONESHA SMITH, and HEATHER NICASTRO, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, 10 Civil Action No. 2:24-cv-1626-RSM 11 Plaintiff, **JOINT RULE 26(F) REPORT AND ORDER** 12 v. 13 ROCKETREACH LLC, 14 Defendant. 15 16 Pursuant to the Court's Order (ECF No. 15), Plaintiffs Joseph Sant, Merton Chun, Ronesha Smith, and Heather Nicastro ("Plaintiffs") and Defendant RocketReach LLC ("RocketReach" or 17 "Defendant") submit the following joint status report, discovery plan and proposed case 18

schedule:

#### 1. Nature of the Case

Α. **Plaintiff:** Plaintiff alleges that Defendant RocketReach LLC, which owns and operates the website www.rocketreach.co, uses Plaintiffs' and Class members' names, contact information, job titles, work histories, and other personal information to promote subscriptions. Because Plaintiffs and the Class did not consent to Defendant's use of their names, likenesses and personal information in Defendant's marketing and advertisements, Plaintiffs allege that Defendant has violated the Washington Right of Publicity Act, RCW §§ 63.60.010-63.60.080.

27

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- **B. Defendant:** Initially, RocketReach contends that Plaintiffs have agreed to arbitrate their claims and, therefore, has moved to compel arbitration. RocketReach has also moved to dismiss Plaintiffs' Complaint and strike the class allegations in the Complaint. Defendant denies it has violated the right of publicity statutes of Washington, California, Illinois, or Ohio. Defendant believes discovery is premature until the Court resolves its motions.
- 2. Deadline for Joining Additional Parties: No later than two months after the Court decides Defendant's motion to dismiss and motion to strike class allegations.
- 3. Deadline for filing a motion for class certification: The Parties propose that Plaintiffs move for class certification 60 days after the close of expert discovery, that Defendant respond 60 days later, and that Plaintiffs reply 21 days thereafter.
  - 4. Consent to assignment to United States Magistrate Judge: No.
  - 5. Proposed Case Schedule and Discovery Plan

Event	Deadline
Initial disclosures	Both RocketReach and Plaintiffs served their initial disclosures before the January 8, 2025 deadline set by the Court.
Close of fact discovery	Twelve months after the pleadings are closed.
Deadline to disclose merits experts	Two months after the close of fact discovery.
Deadline for Plaintiff to disclose class certification experts	Two months after the close of fact discovery.
Deadline to disclose merits rebuttal experts	Two months after the deadline to disclose merits experts.
Deadline for Defendant to disclose rebuttal class certification experts	Two months after the deadline to disclose class certification experts.

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

**26** 

27

Event	Deadline
Close of expert discovery	Six weeks after the deadline to disclose rebuttal experts.
Deadline to file motion for class certification	Two months after the close of expert discovery.
Deadline to file brief in opposition to class certification	Two months after the deadline for Plaintiffs to file their motion for class certification.
Deadline to file reply in support of class certification	Twenty-one days after the deadline for Defendant to file its opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.
Deadline to submit a further status report addressing deadlines for any motions for summary judgment, <i>Daubert</i> motions, motions <i>in limine</i> , and proposed dates for the pretrial conference and trial.	One month after a final decision on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

### A. Initial Disclosures:

Plaintiffs served their initial disclosures on December 18, 2024. RocketReach served its initial disclosures on January 8, 2025.

# B. Subjects, Timing and Phasing of Discovery

## **Subjects of Discovery.**

i. Plaintiffs: Plaintiffs anticipate taking discovery on the following topics: (1) the allegations in Plaintiffs' complaint; (2) Defendant's use of the Plaintiffs' and the putative class members' names and identities to promote its platform; (3) Defendant's operations and organization; (4) Defendant's awareness of the wrongfulness of its conduct; (5) that damages owed by Defendant to Plaintiffs and the putative class members; and (6) Defendant's efforts to optimize its webpages using Plaintiffs' and the putative class members' names and identities on internet search engines.

claims and/or Plaintiffs' class allegations survive RocketReach's pending motions, RocketReach

anticipates taking discovery on the following topics: (1) the allegations in Plaintiffs' Complaint;

(2) any damage or loss Plaintiffs and putative class members purportedly suffered as a result of

the alleged conduct; (3) any evidence that Plaintiffs and putative class members' information,

including names, job titles, work histories, educational history, and other information had

commercial value, including any efforts to monetize such information; (4) any consent by

Plaintiffs or putative class members to the posting of such information online, including through

any website or social media account; (5) any efforts by Plaintiffs or putative class members to

maintain the privacy of such information; and (6) any evidence of emotional harm, mental injury,

or distress Plaintiffs or putative class members allegedly suffered on account of such information

ii. **Defendant**: To the extent the Court does not compel the parties to arbitrate, and the

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

<u>Timing of Discovery.</u> The Parties agree fact discovery shall be completed twelve months after the pleadings are closed.

being publicly available, including any medical information verifying that harm.

**Phasing of Discovery.** The Parties do not believe formally phased discovery is necessary.

A. Electronically stored information: Once discovery begins, the Parties do not anticipate the need for discovery of electronically stored information from sources that are not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost. Rather, the Parties anticipate the need for discovery of electronically stored information from sources reasonably available to the Parties and/or third parties in the ordinary course of business. The Parties intend to stipulate or agree to the form or forms in which electronically stored information should be produced or otherwise made available and intend to submit such stipulation and related proposed order for approval by the Court.

**B.** Privilege Issues: The Parties do not anticipate any unusual or unique privilege issues. The Parties agree to enter into a Protective Order to govern the production of

**26** 

confidential i	informa	ation and disclosures and will submit a proposed Protective Order for the	
Court's consideration once discovery begins.			
	<b>C.</b>	Limitations on Discovery: None.	
	D.	Proposed Discovery Orders: The Parties intend to submit a stipulated	
Protective Or	der one	ce discovery begins.	
6.	Loca	l Civil Rule 26(f)(1) Issues	
	<b>A.</b>	Prompt Case Resolution: The Parties have discussed prompt case	
resolution, bu	ıt Plain	tiffs believe resolution discussions are likely premature at this stage.	
	E.	Alternative Dispute Resolution: The Parties believe that mediation may	
be appropriat	e at a la	nter stage of the proceedings.	
	F.	Related Cases: None.	
	G.	Discovery Management: The parties have submitted their anticipated	
scope of disco	overy p	positions.	
	Н.	Anticipated Discovery Sought:	
i. <b>Pla</b>	intiff:	Plaintiffs anticipate propounding written discovery requests (requests for	
production, in	nterrog	atories, and requests for admission) and taking depositions of members of	
Defendant's	organiz	ation on the topics identified above. Plaintiffs also reserve the right to seek	
non-party par	ty disc	overy from non-parties to extent such discovery becomes necessary.	
ii. <b>De</b>	fendan	t: To the extent the Court does not compel the parties to arbitrate, and the	
claims and/or	Plaint	iffs' class allegations survive RocketReach's pending motions, RocketReach	
anticipates pr	opound	ding written discovery requests (requests for production, interrogatories, and	
requests for	admissi	ion) and taking the deposition of Plaintiffs on the topics identified above.	
RocketReach	also re	serves the right to take discovery of third parties to the extent such discovery	
becomes nece	essary.		
	I.	Phasing Motions: The parties do not believe that any formal phasing of	
motions is ne	cessary	here.	

1	J. Preservation: The parties have taken steps to preserve relevant		
2	information, including ESI.		
3	<b>K.</b> Privilege Issues: The Parties will propose a Protective Order that addresses		
4	privilege issues. The Parties anticipate agreeing upon the Model Protective Order, with		
5	appropriate revisions. The Parties do not anticipate any unique attorney-client privilege issues.		
6	B. Model Protocol for Discovery of ESI: The Parties anticipate discovery		
7	will include electronically stored information. The Parties contemplate agreeing to a revised		
8	version of the Model Protocol for Discovery of Electronically Stored Information in Civil		
9	Litigation. Prior to producing discovery responses, the Parties will promptly submit the protocol		
10	Plaintiff has circulated a proposed ESI protocol to Defendant.		
11	L. Alternatives to Model Protocol: A modified version of the Model		
12	Protocol.		
13			
14	7. Date for Completion of Discovery: Within twelve months after the pleadings are		
15	closed.		
16	8. Whether the case should be bifurcated by trying the liability issues before the		
17	damages issues, or bifurcated in any other way.		
18	The Parties do not believe this case should be bifurcated in any way.		
19	9. Whether the pretrial statements and pretrial order called for by Local Civil		
20	Rules 16(e), (h), (i), and (k), and 16.1 should be dispensed with in whole or in part for the		
21	sake of economy.		
22	No.		
23	10. Any other suggestions for shortening or simplifying the case.		
24	No.		
25	11. The date the case will be ready for trial: Six months after a decision on class		
	certification.		
26			
27	12. Whether the trial will be jury or non-jury.		

1		<b>A.</b>	Plaintiffs: Jury.		
2		В.	Defendant: Jury.		
3	13. The number of trial days required.				
4		<b>A.</b>	Plaintiffs: 5 days.		
5		В.	Defendant: RocketReach believes it is premature to estimate the length and		
6	scope of the	potenti	al trial until the Court determines whether this action is suitable for class		
7	treatment and	, if so,	the scope and issues for any class trial.		
8	14.	The r	names, addresses, and telephone numbers of all trial counsel.		
9		<b>A.</b>	For the Plaintiffs:		
10					
11	NICK MAJOR LAW				
12	Nick I		XXX		
13			Way S. #200 98104		
	Seattle, WA 98104 Telephone: (206)410-5688				
14	E-mai	l: nick(	@nickmajorlaw.com		
15	HEDIN LLP				
16	Tyler K. Somes ( <i>pro hac vice</i> )				
17			reet NW, Ste 04-108		
18	Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202)900-3331				
19			es@hedinllp.com		
20					
		M.	For the Defendant:		
21	Anjali	Das, P	Pro Hac Vice		
22	Matthew N. Foree, <i>Pro Hac Vice</i>				
23	Patrick Lynch, WSBA #53147 520 Pike Street, Suite 2350				
24	Seattle, WA 98101				
25	(206) 709-6665 (direct) (206) 709-5900 (main)				
			01 (fax) Wilsonelser.com		
26			ee@wilsonelser.com		

Patrick.lynch@wilsonelser.com

1 15. The dates on which trial counsel may have complications to be considered in 2 setting a trial date. 3 None. 4 **16.** If, on the due date of the Report, all defendant(s) or respondent(s) have not 5 been served, counsel for the plaintiff shall advise the Court when service will be effected, 6 why it was not made earlier, and shall provide a proposed schedule for the required FRCP 7 26(f) conference and FRCP 26(a) initial disclosures. 8 N/A. 9 17. Whether any party wishes a scheduling conference before the Court enters a 10 scheduling order in the case. 11 None. 12 18. List the date(s) that each and every nongovernmental corporate party filed 13 its disclosure statement pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 7.1 and Local Civil Rule 7.1. 14 A. Plaintiffs: N/A 15 B. Defendant: Defendant is filing its disclosure statement contemporaneously 16 with this filing. 17 // 18 // 19 // 20 // 21 // 22 // 23 // 24 // 25 // 26 27

1 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED AND DATED this 15th day of January, 2025. 2 3 4 /s/ Nick Major /s/ Patrick Lynch 5 Anjali Das, Pro Hac Vice Nick Major 450 Alaskan Way S. #200 Matthew N. Foree, Pro Hac Vice 6 Patrick Lynch, WSBA #53147 Seattle, WA 98104 520 Pike Street, Suite 2350 Telephone: (206)410-5688 7 Seattle, WA 98101 E-mail: nick@nickmajorlaw.com (206) 709-6665 (direct) 8 (206) 709-5900 (main) (206) 709-5901 (fax) 9 Tyler K. Somes (pro hac vice) Anjali.Das@Wilsonelser.com 1100 15th Street NW, Ste 04-108 Matthew.Foree@wilsonelser.com 10 Washington, D.C. 20005 Patrick.lynch@wilsonelser.com Telephone: (202) 900-3331 11 E-mail: tsomes@hedinllp.com Attorneys for Defendant 12 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 13 IT IS SO ORDERED. 14 15 DATED this 23rd day of January, 2025. 16 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

JOINT RULE 26(F) REPORT (2:24-CV-1626-RSM)

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on January 15, 2025, I caused a true and correct copy of the foregoing to be filed in this Court's CM/ECF system, which sent notification of such filing to counsel of record.

DATED January 15, 2025.

/s/ Tyler K. Somes

Tyler K. Somes